

SENATOR CARSTEN: Yes, the bill does.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: But we actually...Senator Warner has called to my attention that we probably need to change it another 15 day lick to get it after the November election.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Yes.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: We'll do that.

SENATOR CARSTEN: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. President.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Thank you, Senator Carsten. The question is the adoption of the committee amendments. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of committee amendments.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The committee amendments are adopted. Is there anything else on the bill?

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Warner would move to amend the bill. (See page 468 of the Legislative Journal.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, the amendment would strike the date, on page 3, for the two meetings that occur, one on the 15th of July and the other, the bill as drafted, November 1. The amendment would make that date November 15. There is really no logic, that I can see, going from the 15th to the 1st, the 15th of October to the 1st of November because you still would not have an additional month's of receipts to compare. But if it's made the 15th of November, then you'd have at least a third of the fiscal year completed in which to make a judgement. I think historically we have worked with quarters. That is why I think the October 15 date was first set...August-September. But I think it would be probably beneficial to have the 15th of November so that you had one additional month's of receipts, during that fiscal year, to make a determination whether or not the rates were adequate. That is all the amendment does.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Any further discussion on the Warner